## <u>Table A – Results of Internal Review Committee</u>

Date of Report:	June 17 and 22, 2005			
Water Body Under Evaluation	on:			
Identification #:	3188			
Name:	North Fork Spring River (C)			
Length of Segment:	51.5 miles			
County (ies):	Dade, Barton, Jasper			
0 t 11-1-y ().	,, ·			
Use Attainability Analysis S	•			
Identification #:	0436			
Submitter:	City of Golden City			
Date Received:	July 11, 2005			
Use Evaluated:	Whole Body Contact Re	creation (WBCR)	•	
<u>UAA Completeness Check:</u>				
All Required Informa	ation Included?	X Yes	☐ No	
Correct Forms Used		Yes	No	
Forms Signed and Da	ated?	🕅 Yes	□No	
•	y provided all the required, rele	vant information from	m the forms, but did	not use
	m format as contained in the UA		,	
UAA Criteria Evaluated:	1-Natural Pollutant S 2-Natural, Ephemera 3-Non-Remedial, Hu 4-Hydrologic Modifi 5-Natural Physical Fo	l, Intermittent or I man Caused Con- cations. eatures.	dition.	
Use Determination & Recon	nmendation: ince November 28, 1975?	☐ Yes	□No	Unknown
	interviews were conducted. One	<u>—</u>	_	
	) years. No one knew of swimr		•	
Is the evaluated use a	attainable?	X Yes	□No	
meet the surveyone con WBCR	AA was conducted in June 2005 are maximum and average depth ed was on the upper portion of the nducted by Tetra Tech (UAA#0 a upstream of the confluence with Kyle Creek (north of state of the confluence).	criteria at any of the he classified segmen 519), the committee th Kyle Creek and re	3 sites evaluated Tit. Based on this sur recommends removitaining WBCR from	he area vey and ing 1 the
Committee Recommend	dation: Retain Use	Modify Use	Remove Use	] Inconclusive
Committee Members:	Stacia Bax, Ann Crawfo	rd, Donna Menov	vn	
N4!	Wate	er Protection & S	oil Conservation	Division
Missouri		•	Water Protection	Program
Department of		Use Attainability Analysis		
<b>A</b>   NA   •	Resources		Toll Free (800) 3	361-4827
INALUIAI F	/C30010C3			

## <u>Table A – Results of Internal Review Committee</u>

